COUR SUPÉRIEURE

(Chambre des actions collectives)

CANADA PROVINCE DE QUÉBEC DISTRICT DE MONTRÉAL

N°: 500-06-000948-188

DATE: February 9, 2023

SOUS LA PRÉSIDENCE DE L'HONORABLE PIERRE NOLLET, J.C.S.

MICHAEL POHORESKY

е:

HAROLD POHORESKY

Plaintiffs

C.

OTSUKA CANADA PHARMACEUTICAL INC.

et

LUNDBECK CANADA INC.

Défendants

JUDGMENT (SUSPENSION)

- [1] **WHEREAS** the Superior Court granted an authorization to institute a class action against six companies, four of which are domiciled outside Québec;
- [2] **WHEREAS** the Court of Appeal granted the appeal of the Out-of-Quebec defendants on the basis that the Quebec Superior Court did not have jurisdiction over those companies in this case and quashed the authorization of the class action against these defendants;

500-06-000948-188 PAGE : 2

[3] **WHEREAS** the Plaintiffs are seeking leave to appeal to the Supreme Court of Canada;

- [4] **WHEREAS** the causes of action asserted by Plaintiffs against the Defendants and the Out-of-Quebec companies are based largely upon the same facts and will require the administration of similar or identical evidence, the whole as it appears from Re-Re-Modified Application to Authorize a Class Action and from the Originating Application filed in the Court record.
- [5] **WHEREAS** forcing Plaintiffs to proceed only against the Defendants even as the appeal proceedings against the Out-of-Quebec companies follow their course before the Supreme Court of Canada constitutes a disproportional and potentially duplicative use of the parties' and the Court's resources
- [6] **WHEREAS** all parties consent to the suspension of the present class action until a final decision has been issued by the Supreme Court;

FOR THESE REASONS, THE COURT:

- [7] **SUSPENDS** the class action against the Defendants until the issuance of a final judgment by the Supreme Court of Canada in the file number 40452 regarding the declinatory exception granted by the Court of Appeal;
- [8] DE BENE ESSE, **RELIEVES** Plaintiffs of the default to file the originating application within the time limit of three months from the authorization decision;
- [9] **THE WHOLE** without costs.

PIERRE NOLLET, J.C.S.

Me Laurence Ste-Marie Me Bogdan-Alexandru Dobrota WOODS S.E.N.C.R.L. Avocats pour les demandeurs

Me Joël Rochon Me Golnaz Nayerahmadi ROCHON GENOVA LLP Avocats-conseils pour les demandeurs 500-06-000948-188 PAGE : 3

Me Marianne Ignacz Me Lydia Amazouz INF s.E.N.C.R.L. Avocates pour Otsuka Canada Pharmaceutical Inc.

Me Samuel Lepage
Me Michel Gagné
Me Laurence Angers-Routhier
McCarthy Tétrault s.e.n.c.r.l., s.r.l.
Avocats pour Lundbeck Canada Inc.

Hearing date: Paper process