C	Δ	N	Α	ח	Δ
v	_		_	u	_

## **SUPERIOR COURT**

(Class Action Division)

# PROVINCE OF QUÉBEC DISTRICT OF MONTREAL

No.: 500-06-001238-233

**MARAL YERETZIAN**;

Petitioner

٧.

**UBER PORTIER CANADA INC.** 

and

**UBER RASIER CANADA INC.** 

and

**UBER CASTOR CANADA INC.** 

and

**UBER TECHNOLOGIES INC.** 

and

**UBER CANADA INC.** 

and

**UBER B.V.** 

and

**RASIER OPERATIONS B.V.** 

and

**UBER PORTIER B.V.** 

Respondents

# DE BENE ESSE MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND PETITIONER'S APPLICATION FOR AUTHORIZATION TO INSTITUTE A CLASS ACTION

(Articles 25, 49, 101, 206 and 585 of the Code of Civil Procedure)

TO THE HONOURABLE DONALD BISSON, J.C.S. SITTING IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTREAL, THE PETITIONER RESPECTFULLY ALLEGES AS FOLLOWS:

# I. <u>Introduction</u>

- 1. By the present *de benne esse* motion (the "**Motion**"), Petitioner seeks leave to amend her original Application for Authorization to Institute a Class Action (the "**Original Application**"), which was filed on Court on April 28, 2023 and served upon the Defendants named therein.
- 2. The amendments for which leave is sought are those contained in the Modified Application for Authorization to Institute a Class Action (the "Amended Application") and which was notified and filed on November 17, 2023, as appears from the Court record.

# **II. Relevant Procedural History**

- 3. On October 6<sup>th</sup>, 2023, the Defendants filed a "*Demande pour permettre de présenter une preuve appropriée*" (the "**Motion to file appropriate evidence**") as appears from the Court record.
- 4. The Motion to file appropriate evidence aims to add an affidavit from a representative of the Defendants, numerous Service Agreements entered between the Defendants and the Class Members (the "Service Agreements"), several Community Guidelines issued by the Defendants (the "Community Guidelines") as well as affidavits from the Petitioner and other Uber Drivers filed in a class action taking place in Alberta, from which Quebec residents are excluded.
- 5. On October 16<sup>th</sup>, 2023, the Petitioner's attorneys informed this Honourable Court that they will be filing an amended Application for Authorization to Institute a Class Action.
- 6. On November 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2023, after conferring with the Defendants' attorneys, the Petitioner's attorneys informed this Honorable Court that they will be filing their Amended Application during the week of November 13<sup>th</sup>, 2023.
- 7. On November 17<sup>th</sup>, 2023, the Amended Application was notified on the Defendants.

# **III. The Amendments Sought**

- 8. The Amended Application seeks to file as exhibits the various Service Agreements and Community Guidelines relevant to the relation between the putative class members and the Defendants, namely Exhibits P-9 and P-10. It also seeks to adduce on the record some of the affidavits administered in a concurrent Alberta class action (Exhibits P-6, P-7, P-8 and P-13).
- 9. All of these exhibits are documents the Defendants seek to adduce on the record by way of their Motion to file appropriate evidence.
- 10. The main purpose of these amendments is to clarify for the Court the various contractual and factual relationships between the Defendants and the Class Members, which are already alleged in the Original Application, as part of the authorization process.
- 11. The amendments also intend to shed light on the two ongoing class actions in Canada against the Defendants, in Ontario and Alberta, which do not include Quebec residents, and put some context on the evidence the Defendants request to file in the Court record pursuant to their Motion to file appropriate evidence.
- 12. These amendments neither add a distinct cause of action against the Defendants, nor a new party to these proceedings.
- 13. The amendments will assist the Court in appreciating whether the Petitioner meets the criteria set forth in article 575 of the *Code of Civil Procedure*, including as to whether the Application for Authorization discloses an arguable case.
- 14. None of the amendments will hinder, delay or complexify the authorization proceedings.
- 15. The present Motion is well-founded in fact and in law, and the amendments sought meet all requirements justifying such amendments, whether pursuant Art. 206 CPC or 585 CPC.

## THEREFORE, MAY IT PLEASE THIS HONOURABLE COURT TO:

**GRANT** the present *de bene esse* Motion for Leave to Amend Petitioner's Application for Authorization to Institute a Class Action;

**AUTHORIZE** Petitioner to amend her Original Application for Authorization to Institute a Class action in the form of the Amended Application for Authorization to Institute a Class Action of November 17, 2023;

**THE WHOLE** without costs, unless contested.

MONTRÉAL, November 20, 2023

Counsel for the Petitioner Mtre. Laurence Ste-Marie

Mtre. Ioana Jurca

Mtre. Simon-Alexandre Poitras

Istemarie@woods.gc.ca ijurca@woods.qc.ca sapoitras@woods.qc.ca

notification@woods.qc.ca

2000 McGill College Avenue, Suite 1700

Montréal, Québec H3A 3H3

Tel.: 514 982-4545 / Fax: 514 284-2046 Code BW 0208 / Our reference: 6235-2

Winnipeg, November 20, 2023

DUBOFF EDWARDS SCHACHTER LC

Counsel for the Petitioner

Paul Edwards **Evan Edwards** 

edwards@deslaw.ca evan.edwards@deslaw.ca

1900-155 Carlton Street

Winnipeg (Manitoba) R3C 3H8

Tel. 204-942-3361/Fax:204-942-3362

#### MCKENZIE LAKE L

Counsel for the Petitioner

Michael Peerless Sabrina Lombardi Chelsea Smith

Jonathan Bradford

mike.peerless@mckenzielake.com sabrina.lombardi@mckenzielake.com chelsea.smith@mckenzielake.com Jonathan.Bradford@mckenzielake.com

140 Fullarton Street, Suite 1800

London (Ontario) N6A 5P2

Tel.: 519-672-5666/Fax:519-672-2674

### **AFFIDAVIT**

I, the undersigned, Laurence Ste-Marie, lawyer, exercising my profession with the firm Woods LLP, located at 2000 McGill College Avenue, Suite 1700, in the City and District of Montréal, province of Québec, H3A 3H3, solemnly declares that:

- 1. I am one of the lawyers for Maral Yeretzian in the present file;
- 2. All the facts alleged at paragraphs 5, 6 and 7 in the present *Motion for Authorization to Amend Petitioner's Application for Authorization to Institute a Class Action* are true.

AND I HAVE SIGNED:

Laurence Ste-Marie

Solemnly affirmed before me in by technological means, in Montréal this 20 of November, 2023

Kelly Chim #194410

Commissioner for Oaths for Québec and for outside of Québec

No.: 500-06-001238-233

# SUPERIOR COURT DISTRICT OF MONTREAL PROVINCE OF QUÉBEC

### **MARAL YERETZIAN**

Petitioner

٧.

**UBER PORTIER CANADA INC. et als.** 

Respondents

# DE BENE ESSE MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND PETITIONER'S APPLICATION FOR AUTHORIZATION TO INSTITUTE A CLASS ACTION

(Articles 25, 49, 101, 206 and 585 of the *Code of Civil Procedure*)

### **ORIGINAL**

Mtre. Laurence Ste-Marie Mtre. Ioana Jurca Mtre. Simon-Alexandre Poitras File No.: 7501-1

# Woods LLP Barristers & Solicitors

2000 McGill College Avenue, Suite 1700 Montréal, Québec H3A 3H3 T 514 982-4545 F 514 284-2046

Notification: notification@woods.qc.ca
Code BW 0208

