

**CANADA
PROVINCE OF QUÉBEC
DISTRICT OF MONTREAL**

**SUPERIOR COURT
(Class Actions Division)**

No: 500-06-001411-251

CÉDRIC POIRIER

Plaintiff

v.

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA

-and-

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF QUEBEC

Defendants

-and-

**LE DIRECTEUR GÉNÉRAL DES
ÉLECTIONS DU QUÉBEC**

Intervener

**APPLICATION BY THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA
FOR LEAVE TO ADDUCE APPROPRIATE EVIDENCE
(S. 574 of the *Code of Civil Procedure*)**

**TO THE HONOURABLE JUSTICE CATHERINE PICHÉ, SITTING IN THE SUPERIOR
COURT, THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA RESPECTFULLY STATES THE
FOLLOWING:**

Overview

1. The plaintiff seeks authorization to institute a class action against the defendant Attorney General of Canada on behalf of the electors initially admitted to detention less than 12 days prior to polling day, and who were still detained on polling day, therefore being unable to vote (for Class 1). They allege a violation of their right to vote enshrined in s. 3 of the *Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms* (*Charter*).
2. The Attorney General of Canada intends to challenge the plaintiff's *Application for authorization to institute a class action and to obtain the status of representative* (the Application for authorization).
3. Appropriate evidence is required to enable this Court to decide whether the criteria set out in article 575 of the *Code of Civil Procedure* (CCP) are met.
4. For the reasons that follow, the Attorney General of Canada seeks leave of this court to adduce the following evidence :
 - a) The sworn statement of Danielle Duquette, Special Voting Rules Administrator at Elections Canada, exhibit AGC-1, and the exhibits in support of this sworn statement:
 - i) Overview of Elections Canada and the Federal Electoral System, exhibit DD-1;
 - ii) Calendar of activities for a typical election period – Elections Canada, exhibit DD-2;
 - iii) Special Voting Rules as Adapted for the Purposes of a By-Election, 178-B-2020-01, September 21, 2020, exhibit DD-3;
 - iv) Recommendations from the CEO on the 38th General Election (2005), exhibit DD-4;
 - v) Recommendations from the CEO Following the 40th General Election (2010), exhibit DD-5;
 - vi) Recommendations from the CEO Following the 42nd General Election (2016), exhibit DD-6;
 - vii) Elections Canada's Backgrounder – Voting by Incarcerated Electors, exhibit DD-7;
 - viii) List of correctional institutions, exhibit DD-8;
 - ix) Elections Canada's Backgrounder for Liaison Officers, exhibit DD-9;

- x) Liaison Officer Guidebook – Incarcerated Electors, exhibit DD-10;
 - xi) Election Officer Guidebook – Incarcerated Electors, exhibit DD-11;
 - xii) Calendar for the 45th general election – Incarcerated Electors, exhibit DD-12;
 - xiii) Poster – Correctional Institutions (FR-EN), exhibit DD-13;
 - xiv) How to Vote in a Federal Election: A Guide for Incarcerated Voters Pamphlet (FR-EN), exhibit DD-14;
 - xv) Application for Registration and Special Ballot (EN-FR), exhibit DD-15;
 - xvi) 179-G-2019-04 – Instruction, Additional voting days under Divisions 2 and 5 of Part 11 of the *Canada Elections Act*,¹ September 16, 2019, exhibit DD-16;
 - xvii) 179-G-2019-10 – Instruction, Additional voting day under Division 5 of Part 11 of the *Canada Elections Act*, October 8, 2019, exhibit DD-17;
 - xviii) 179-G-2025-06 – Instruction, Additional Voting day under Divisions 5 of Part 11 of the *Canada Elections Act* – Établissement de détention de Sorel-Tracy, April 22, 2025, exhibit DD-18;
 - xix) 179-G-2021-09 - Instruction, Additional voting day under Division 5 of Part 11 of the *Canada Elections Act*, exhibit DD-19;
 - xx) Special Ballot Report – 45th General Election, exhibit DD-20;
 - xxi) Elections Canada's Backgrounder – Voting in By-elections by Incarcerated Electors, exhibit DD-21.
- b) The examination of the plaintiff regarding the criteria for authorization.
5. The Attorney general of Canada is seeking leave to produce this appropriate evidence, as it meets the requirements for the production of evidence by a party for an authorization hearing:
- a) This evidence is relevant and useful for the Court with regard to the allegations in the Application for authorization against the Attorney General of Canada;
 - b) It is proportionate to the issues raised by the Application for authorization and the documents filed by the plaintiff;
 - c) It is useful for the Court to understand the terms and conditions of the vote

¹ [S.C. 2000, c. 9.](#)

of incarcerated electors under the *Canada Elections Act*, and the deadlines relating to the vote;

- d) The evidence will assist the Court to assess whether the criteria for authorization are met, in particular whether:
 - i) the claims of the members of the class raise identical, similar or related issues of law or fact (subsection 575(1) CCP);
 - ii) the facts alleged appear to justify the conclusions sought (subsection 575(2) CCP);
 - iii) the class member appointed as representative plaintiff is in a position to properly represent the class members (subsection 575(4) CCP).

Application for authorization

6. On August 21, 2025, the plaintiff filed the Application for authorization on behalf of the persons who were members of two classes, which can generally be described as follows:

Class 1 Since August 21, 2019, any Canadian citizen 18 years of age or older detained on a federal election or by-election day of the Members of the House of Commons, and who was initially admitted to detention in Canada less than 12 days prior to polling day, who was unable to vote. According to the Application for authorization, there was three general elections since August 21, 2019, and twelve by-elections.

Class 2 Since August 21, 2022, any Canadian citizen 18 years of age or older, domiciled in Québec and detained on a provincial election or by-election day of the Members of the National Assembly, and who was initially admitted to detention less than 16 days prior to polling day, who was unable to vote. According to the Application for authorization, there was one general election since August 21, 2022, and four by-elections.

7. With regard to the Attorney General of Canada, the plaintiff alleges, essentially, that it “unacceptably and unjustifiably disenfranchises Class 1 members of their right to vote, the whole as a result of administrative grounds, none of which can possibly be justified in a free and democratic society”.²
8. The plaintiff seeks \$15,000 from the defendants for each Class member, per occurrence of violation of the right to vote, the whole to be recovered collectively.³ The plaintiff also seeks a declaration of invalidity of what he refers to as the

² Par. 46 of the Application for authorization.

³ Par. 146 of the Application for authorization

“12th day” voting entitlement in sections 245(1), 250(2) and 251(1) of the *Canada Elections Act*.⁴

9. In order for the court to authorize this class action, the plaintiff must show, *prima facie*, that sections 245(1), 250(2) and 251(1) of the *Canada Elections Act* were clearly unconstitutional when they were enacted.
10. This is indeed the plaintiff's theory of cause:
 - The introduction of the Application for authorization focusses on the *Charter* rights;
 - Paragraphs 37 to 46 are under the heading “Breach of Class Members’ Charter Protected Right to Vote”;
 - Certain paragraphs refer to the alleged knowledge of the Attorney General of Canada that there is a breach of the right to vote (for example, paras 41, 72 to 98);
 - Other paragraphs, such as 126 and 127, allege that the legislation is clearly unconstitutional, i.e., the wording used in *Canada (Attorney General) v. Power*⁵;
 - The arguments as to the damages are divided between the recognized functions of *Charter* damages (paras 133 to 146).

The evidence presented by the Attorney General of Canada is relevant and useful

- a) *Sworn statement of Danielle Duquette, exhibit AGC-1, and the exhibits in support of this sworn statement*
11. First, the Attorney General of Canada seeks to adduce evidence from a third party, Elections Canada, namely the sworn statement of Danielle Duquette, administrator of the special voting rules at Elections Canada, described below, and the exhibits in support of this sworn statement.
12. The sworn statement of Danielle Duquette and its exhibits are filed as **Exhibit AGC-1** of this Application.
13. Elections Canada is an independent, non-partisan agency headed by the Chief Electoral Officer. The Chief Electoral Officer is an agent of Parliament and exercises general control over the conduct of all federal elections in Canada.
14. As Ms. Duquette states in her sworn statement, Elections Canada provides this evidence to assist the Court with factual matters relevant to its administration

⁴ Conclusions of the Application for authorization, p. 31.

⁵ [2024 SCC 26](#).

of federal elections. However, Elections Canada takes no position on the application for authorization of a class action before this Court.

15. The sworn statement of Danielle Duquette completes the allegations of the Application for authorization by providing an administrative and operational perspective of voting for incarcerated electors, given the legislative context in which such voting operates, including the inherent delays required for incarcerated electors' voting.
16. In particular, as the Special Voting Rules Administrator at Elections Canada, specifically dealing with the terms and conditions of the right to vote for incarcerated electors, Ms. Duquette can provide the court with a unique perspective on the terms and conditions of the right to vote for the putative Class 1.
17. The sworn statement of Danielle Duquette explains the voting process for incarcerated electors during both general and by-elections.
18. This evidence is of the same nature as that which the Intervener, le Directeur général des élections du Québec, is seeking leave to file.
19. With regard to general elections, the sworn statement explains, *inter alia*:
 - the particularities of the voting process for incarcerated electors;
 - the number of correctional institutions in Canada, and the approximate number of eligible incarcerated electors in the last general election;
 - the Chief Electoral Officer's authority under the *Canada Elections Act* to issue instructions authorizing additional voting days under certain circumstances, the circumstances under which additional voting days were authorized in the last three general elections, as well as the permanent and special instructions relevant to the vote of incarcerated electors;
 - the process for returning the special ballots through Canada Post to Elections Canada's headquarter in Ottawa, including situations in the last three general elections where votes could not be counted because they were not received on time.
20. Like the materials proposed by the Intervener, the Directeur général des élections du Québec, this evidence, provided by an independent agency, is objective and uncontroversial.
21. This sworn statement is relevant and useful for the Court to assess whether the Application for authorization demonstrates, *prima facie*, a "clear" violation of the *Charter* regarding the process for federal elections, and thus, whether the facts alleged appear to justify the conclusions sought, as required by subsection 575(2) CCP.

22. It is also required to determine whether, as drafted, the questions and the Class 1 definition allow the claims of the members to raise identical, similar or related issues as required in subsection 575(1) CCP.
23. The sworn statement of Danielle Duquette also deals with the voting process during by-elections, to correct inaccuracies in the Application for authorization.
24. Unlike for general elections, incarcerated electors do not vote in person. For by-elections, incarcerated electors vote by special ballot which are returned by mail.
25. Pursuant to section 178(2) of the *Canada Elections Act*, the Chief Electoral Officer has issued instructions to adapt the provisions pertaining to the special voting rules (Part 11 of the Act) during by-elections.
26. As per these instructions, every incarcerated elector is entitled to vote at a by-election “if the person's *application for registration and special ballot* is received [...] before 6:00 p.m. on the 6th day before polling day.” The ballot itself is to be sent by ordinary mail to the Special Voting Rules Administrator in the National Capital Region no later than 6:00 p.m. on polling day.
27. With respect to by-elections, Ms. Duquette's sworn statement explains the measures taken to address the limited details provided for in the *Canada Elections Act* on the mechanisms governing the exercise of voting by incarcerated electors.
28. This is relevant and useful for the Court to assess whether the Application for authorization demonstrates, *prima facie*, a “clear” violation of the *Charter* regarding the process for federal by-elections.
29. It is also relevant to the determination as to whether there are questions identical, similar or connected for all members of the proposed class as defined by the plaintiff.
30. The sworn statement of Ms. Duquette will contribute to the achievement of the threefold objective of the authorization process.
31. With regards to the evidence attached to Ms. Duquette's sworn statement, exhibit DD-4 consists of the complete report referred to by the plaintiff at paragraphs 89 and 90 of his Application for authorization. Exhibits DD-5 and DD-6 are documents of the same nature.
32. Furthermore, exhibits DD-3, DD-16, DD-17, DD-18 and DD-19 of the sworn statement are instructions issued pursuant to the *Canada Elections Act* and are thus statutory instruments as provided by the *Statutory Instruments Act*.⁶
33. In the circumstances, the AGC is adducing the most tailored evidence possible,

⁶ [R.S.C., 1985, c. S-22](#).

given Elections Canada's independence and control over the contents of its sworn statement. The evidence is objective and proportionate to the issues raised by the Application for authorization and the documents filed by the plaintiff.

b) *Examination of the plaintiff about the criteria for authorization*

34. Second, the Attorney General of Canada seeks leave to examine the plaintiff to determine whether he can properly represent the class members (subsection 575(4) CCP).
35. The duration of the examination will not exceed 30 minutes and will concern the particular circumstances leading to the plaintiff's incarceration and those surrounding his alleged denial to vote.
36. In particular, the Attorney General of Canada would ask questions about whether the plaintiff could have voted prior to his arrest, his prior knowledge of incarcerated electors voting, the steps taken when he was told that the vote in the prison where he was detained had already occurred, and his previous experience of voting in elections.
37. In the event that the Attorney General of Quebec brought a similar request to examine the Plaintiff, the Attorney General of Canada undertakes to coordinate with its codefendant to avoid the duplication of questions.

Conclusion

38. The evidence that the respondent intends to adduce is necessary to circumscribe the debate. It provides contextual, relevant and useful evidence that will assist the Court in its analysis of the criteria set out in section 575 of the CCP.
39. This evidence is also essential to ensure the Court's understanding of the *Canada Elections Act* regime for incarcerated electors beyond the mere provisions of the *Act* which only partially sets out the process.
40. To fully appreciate the regime for incarcerated electors, the Court must be acquainted with its essential administrative and operational procedures as set out in Ms. Duquette sworn statement and accompanying exhibits.
41. This evidence is proportionate to the nature and complexity of the proposed class action. To the extent that it is within the Attorney General of Canada's power, the evidence is limited to what is essential and necessary to present the defendant's arguments as clearly and logically as possible at the authorization stage.
42. It is an uncontroversial and disciplined response to the plaintiff's allegations and the public interest issues raised in his application for authorization to institute a

class action.

43. It is in the interests of justice that this Court should have the benefit of this highly relevant insight for the purposes of the analysis of the Application for authorization.

FOR THESE REASONS, MAY THE COURT:

ALLOW the Attorney General of Canada to present, as appropriate evidence for the hearing of the *Application for authorization to institute a class action and to obtain the status of representative*, the sworn statement of Danielle Duquette, administrator of the special voting rules at Elections Canada, and its exhibits:

- i) Overview of Elections Canada and the Federal Electoral System, exhibit DD-1;
- ii) Calendar of activities for a typical election period – Elections Canada, exhibit DD-2;
- iii) Special Voting Rules as Adapted for the Purposes of a By-Election, 178-B-2020-01, September 21, 2020, exhibit DD-3;
- iv) Recommendations from the CEO on the 38th General Election (2005), exhibit DD-4;
- v) Recommendations from the CEO Following the 40th General Election (2010), exhibit DD-5;
- vi) Recommendations from the CEO Following the 42nd General Election (2016), exhibit DD-6;
- vii) Elections Canada's Backgrounder – Voting by Incarcerated Electors, exhibit DD-7;
- viii) List of correctional institutions, exhibit DD-8;
- ix) Elections Canada's Backgrounder for Liaison Officers, exhibit DD-9;
- x) Liaison Officer Guidebook – Incarcerated Electors, exhibit DD-10;
- xi) Election Officer Guidebook – Incarcerated Electors, exhibit DD-11;
- xii) Calendar for the 45th general election – Incarcerated Electors, exhibit DD-12;
- xiii) Poster – Correctional Institutions (FR-EN), exhibit DD-13;
- xiv) How to Vote in a Federal Election: A Guide for Incarcerated Voters

Pamphlet (FR-EN), exhibit DD-14;

- xv) Application for Registration and Special Ballot (EN-FR), exhibit DD-15;
- xvi) 179-G-2019-04 – Instruction, Additional voting days under Divisions 2 and 5 of Part 11 of the *Canada Elections Act*,⁷ September 16, 2019, exhibit DD-16;
- xvii) 179-G-2019-10 – Instruction, Additional voting day under Division 5 of Part 11 of the *Canada Elections Act*, October 8, 2019, exhibit DD-17;
- xviii) 179-G-2025-06 – Instruction, Additional Voting day under Divisions 5 of Part 11 of the *Canada Elections Act* – Établissement de détention de Sorel-Tracy, April 22, 2025, exhibit DD-18;
- xix) 179-G-2021-09 - Instruction, Additional voting day under Division 5 of Part 11 of the *Canada Elections Act*, exhibit DD-19;
- xx) Special Ballot Report – 45th General Election, exhibit DD-20;
- xxi) Elections Canada’s Backgrounder – Voting in By-elections by Incarcerated Electors, exhibit DD-21.

ALLOW the Attorney General of Canada to examine the plaintiff for a maximum of 30 minutes to ask questions about the circumstances leading to his incarceration and denial to vote, in particular whether the plaintiff could have voted prior to his arrest, his prior knowledge of incarcerated electors voting, the steps taken when he was told that the vote in the prison where he was detained had already passed, and his previous experience of voting in elections.

THE WHOLE WITHOUT LEGAL COSTS, UNLESS DISPUTED

Montréal, this March 16, 2026

Attorney general of Canada

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA

Department of Justice Canada
 Quebec Regional Office
 Guy-Favreau Complex
 200, René-Lévesque Blvd. West
 East Tower, 9th Floor
 Montreal, Quebec H2Z 1X4

By: Johannie Dallaire, Dominique Guimond
 and Caroline Laverdière

⁷ [S.C. 2000, c. 9.](#)

**CANADA
PROVINCE OF QUEBEC
DISTRICT OF MONTREAL**

**SUPERIOR COURT
(Class Actions Division)**

No: 500-06-001411-251

CÉDRIC POIRIER

Plaintiff

v.

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA

-and-

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF QUEBEC

Defendants

-and-

**LE DIRECTEUR GÉNÉRAL DES
ÉLECTIONS DU QUÉBEC**

Intervener

**EXHIBITS COMMUNICATED IN SUPPORT OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
OF CANADA'S APPLICATION FOR LEAVE
TO ADDUCE APPROPRIATE EVIDENCE**

Exhibit #	Description
AGC-1	Sworn statement of Danielle Duquette. The exhibits in support of this sworn statement are listed below.
DD-1	Overview of Elections Canada and the Federal Electoral System

DD-2	Calendar of activities for a typical election period – Elections Canada
DD-3	Special Voting Rules as Adapted for the Purposes of a By-Election, 178-B-2020-01, September 21, 2020
DD-4	Recommendations from the CEO on the 38 th General Election (2005)
DD-5	Recommendations from the CEO Following the 40 th General Election (2010)
DD-6	Recommendations from the CEO Following the 42 nd General Election (2016)
DD-7	Elections Canada's Backgrounder – Voting by Incarcerated Electors
DD-8	List of correctional institutions
DD-9	Elections Canada's Backgrounder for Liaison Officers
DD-10	Liaison Officer Guidebook – Incarcerated Electors
DD-11	Election Officer Guidebook – Incarcerated Electors
DD-12	Calendar for the 45 th general election – Incarcerated Electors
DD-13	Poster – Correctional Institutions (FR-EN)
DD-14	How to Vote in a Federal Election: A Guide for Incarcerated Voters Pamphlet (FR-EN)
DD-15	Application for Registration and Special Ballot (EN-FR)
DD-16	179-G-2019-04 – Instruction, Additional voting days under Divisions 2 and 5 of Part 11 of the <i>Canada Elections Act</i> , September 16, 2019
DD-17	179-G-2019-10 – Instruction, Additional voting day under Division 5 of Part 11 of the <i>Canada Elections Act</i> , October 8, 2019
DD-18	179-G-2025-06 – Instruction, Additional Voting day under Divisions 5 of Part 11 of the <i>Canada Elections Act</i> – Établissement de détention de Sorel-Tracy, April 22, 2025
DD-19	179-G-2021-09 - Instruction, Additional voting day under Division 5 of Part 11 of the <i>Canada Elections Act</i>
DD-20	Special Ballot Report – 45 th General Election
DD-21	Elections Canada's Backgrounder – Voting in By-elections by

Incarcerated Electors

Montréal, this March 16, 2026

Attorney general of Canada

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA

Department of Justice Canada
Quebec Regional Office
Guy-Favreau Complex
200, René-Lévesque Blvd. West
East Tower, 9th Floor
Montreal, Quebec H2Z 1X4


By: Johannie Dallaire, Dominique Guimond
and Caroline Laverdière

AFFIDAVIT

I, the undersigned, **Isabelle Nicolas**, paralegal at the Department of Justice Canada, having my professional address at Guy-Favreau Complex, 200 René-Lévesque Blvd. East Tower, 9th Floor, Montreal, Quebec, H2Z 1X4, do solemnly declare:


1. I am a member of the legal team for the Attorney General Canada in this matter.
2. All the facts alleged in this Application are true.

AND I HAVE SIGNED by technological means, in the city of Brossard, in the province of Québec, this March 16, 2026.



ISABELLE NICOLAS

Solemnly declared before me, by technological means in Montreal, Province of Quebec, this March 16, 2026.



COMMISSIONER FOR OATHS

Lysanne Lejeune 247640 COMMISSAIRE À L'ASSERMENTATION Pour le Québec et pour l'extérieur du Québec COMMISSIONER FOR OATHS For Quebec and for outside of Quebec

NOTICE OF PRESENTATION

TO: Mtre Robert Kugler
Mtre Alexandre Brosseau-Wery
Mtre Éva Richard
Mtre Emily Painter
KUGLER KANDESTIN LLP
1, Place Ville Marie, suite 1170
Montréal (Québec) H3B 2A7
Phone: 514-878-2861
Fax: 514-875-8424
Email: rkugler@kklex.com
awery@kklex.com
erichard@kklex.com
epainter@kklex.com

Mtre Francis Durocher
Mtre Stéphanie Garon
Mtre François Lamalice
Bernard, Roy (Justice-Québec)
1, rue Notre-Dame Est, bureau 8.00
Montréal (Québec) H2Y 1B6
Phone: 514 393-2336, ext. 51556
Fax: 514 873-7074
Email : francis.durocher@justice.gouv.qc.ca
stephanie.garon@justice.gouv.qc.ca
francois.lamalice@justice.gouv.qc.ca
bernardroy@justice.gouv.qc.ca

Mtre Henrick Lavoie
Directeur général des élections du Québec
1045, avenue Wilfrid-Pelletier
Québec (Québec) G1W 0C6
Phone: 418-644-1090, ext. 5257
Fax: 418-646-6105
Email: hlavoie@electionsquebec.qc.ca

TAKE NOTICE that the foregoing Application of the Attorney General of Canada for leave to adduce appropriate evidence attached hereto, will be presented for adjudication before the Honorable Justice Catherine Piché, sitting in and for the Judicial District of Montréal, at the Montréal Courthouse, situated at 1, Notre-Dame Street East, Montreal, Quebec, H2Y 1B6, or virtually using the Courthouse Teams link, on April 27, 2026.

DO GOVERN YOURSELVES ACCORDINGLY.

Montréal, this March 16, 2026

Attorney general of Canada

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA

Department of Justice Canada
Quebec Regional Office
Guy-Favreau Complex
200, René-Lévesque Blvd. West
East Tower, 9th Floor
Montreal, Quebec H2Z 1X4

By: Johannie Dallaire, Dominique Guimond
and Caroline Laverdière

N° : 500-06-001411-251

SUPERIOR COURT
(Class Actions Division)
PROVINCE OF QUEBEC
DISTRICT OF MONTREAL

CÉDRIC POIRIER

Plaintiff

v.

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA

-and-

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF QUEBEC

Defendants

-and-

**LE DIRECTEUR GÉNÉRAL DES ÉLECTIONS DU
QUÉBEC**

Intervener

**APPLICATION FOR LEAVE TO ADDUCE
APPROPRIATE EVIDENCE, LIST OF EXHIBITS,
AFFIDAVIT, NOTICE OF PRESENTATION, SWORN
STATEMENT OF DANIELLE DUQUETTE AND
EXHIBITS**

COPY FOR THE COURT

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA

Department of Justice Canada
Quebec Regional Office
Guy-Favreau Complex
200, René-Lévesque Blvd. West
East Tower, 9th floor
Montreal (Quebec) H2Z 1X4
Fax : 514 496-7876

By : Mtre Johannie Dallaire
Mtre Dominique Guimond
Mtre Caroline Laverdière

Phone : 438-468-5144
438-466-7203
514-515-8132

Email : johannie.dallaire@justice.gc.ca
dominique.guimond@justice.gc.ca
caroline.laverdiere@justice.gc.ca

NotificationPGC-AGC.Civil@justice.gc.ca