

SUPERIOR COURT

(Class Action)

CANADA
PROVINCE OF QUEBEC
DISTRICT OF MONTREAL

No.: 500-06-001428-255

DATE: June 29, 2026

BY THE HONOURABLE CATHERINE MARTEL, J.S.C.

PIERRE GAUDREAU

and

LÉA GAGNON

Plaintiffs

v.

AMAZON.COM.CA, ULC

and

AMAZON TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

and

AMAZON.COM, INC.

Defendants

JUDGMENT

(Amendment)

JM3321

[1] **CONSIDERING** that on October 10, 2025, the Plaintiff(s) filed an Application to Authorize the Bringing of a Class Action and to Appoint the Plaintiff(s) as Representative Plaintiffs (as amended on November 19, 2025):

“All persons residing in Quebec who were enrolled in and who were charged for an Amazon Prime subscription without their informed consent and/or who experienced unreasonable obstacles when attempting to cancel their

subscriptions, resulting in continued charges beyond their intention, or any other group to be determined by the Court;”

[2] **CONSIDERING** that the Plaintiffs allege that the Defendants engaged in deceptive marketing and unfair commercial practices in violation of, *inter alia*, the *Consumer Protection Act*, CQLR, c P-40.1, the *Civil Code of Québec*, CQLR c CCQ-1991, and the *Competition Act*, RSC 1985, c C-34 by enrolling consumers in paid subscriptions without clear, informed consent and by designing and maintaining cancellation processes that rendered termination of those subscriptions unreasonably difficult;

[3] **CONSIDERING** that on June 19, 2026, the Plaintiffs filed an Application for permission to amend the application for authorization of a class action pursuant to article 206 and 585 C.C.P.;

[4] **CONSIDERING** that the amendments satisfy each of the three criteria set out in article 206 C.C.P. in that they do not delay the proceedings, they are not contrary to the interests of justice, and they do not result in an entirely new application having no connection with the original one;

[5] **CONSIDERING** that the Defendants do not contest the amendments;

[6] **CONSIDERING** the interests of the members of the class and that the interests of justice favour granting the present application;

POUR CES MOTIFS, LE TRIBUNAL:

FOR THESE REASONS, THE COURT:

[7] **ACCORDE** la demande de modification;

GRANTS the Application to Amend;

[8] **AUTORISE** les modifications telles qu’elles figurent dans la demande d’autorisation modifiée [pièce R-1];

AUTHORIZES the amendments as produced in the Amended Application for Authorization [Exhibit R-1];

[9] **LE TOUT** sans frais de justice.

THE WHOLE without legal costs.

CATHERINE MARTEL, J.S.C.

Me Andrea Grass
ACTIS LAW GROUP INC.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs

Me Karine Chênevert
Me Stéphane Pitre
Me Amanda Afeich
Me Amély Lewis
BORDEN LADNER GERVAIS LLP
Attorneys for the Defendants