CANADA

Province of Québec District: Montréal Locality: Montréal

File No.: 500-06-000913-182

SUPERIOR COURT Class Action Division

RICKY TENZER

Plaintiff

٧.

HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CANADA CO., LTD.

Detendant	efendant
-----------	----------

FIRST CASE PROTOCOL (in civil matters) PRESENTATION PAGE Superior Court of Québec, Montréal Division

- 1. You are required to complete this page when filing the first case protocol in the record of the Court.
- 2. If applicable, place this page before the case protocol (before page 1) and staple them together.

For each question, you must check an answer, either YES or NO. No answer will be deemed to be YES.

The parties are requesting a stay of the proceeding: (line 4 of the protocol)	□YES ⊠NO	
The parties are requesting an extension of the time limit: (line 6 of the protocol)	⊠YES □ NO	
The parties plan to file more than six expert opinions: (lines 40 to 43 of the protocol)	☐YES ⊠ NO	
A party (defendant, third person, person called) intends to file an application for authorization to file a written defence: (line 33 of the protocol)	⊠ YES □ NO	
The parties plan to conduct more than six pre-trial examinations: (lines 47 and 48 of the protocol)	☐YES ☐ NO	
The parties plan to conduct examinations the duration of which is incompatible with article 229 of the Code of Civil Procedure (C.C.P.):	☐ YES 🖾 NO	
The protocol was not signed by the parties or was not notified to them:	☐ YES 🖾 NO	

CANADA

Province of Québec District: Montréal Locality: Montréal

File No.: 500-06-000913-182

SUPERIOR COURT Class Action Division

RICKY TENZER

Plaintiff

٧.

HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CANADA CO., LTD.

Defendant

CASE PROTOCOL Superior Court of Québec, Montréal Division (article 148 of the Code of Civil Procedure)

1.	Nature of the dispute: Class action in hidden defect	
2.	Value of the subject matter of the dispute: To be determined	
3.	Latest date on which the application was served on all the parties:	June 26, 2020
4.	All the parties are requesting a stay of the proceeding in order to allow them to negotiate an out-of-court agreement (C.C.P., a. 156):	☐ YES ⊠ NO
	Duration: (where applicable, indicate a maximum stay of 3 months)	☐ 1 month ☐ 2 months ☐ 3 months
	If the application is allowed by the Court, the proceeding will therefore be stayed until:	
5.	Before filing judicial proceedings, did the parties consider private prevention and resolution processes (C.C.P. a. 1, 3 rd para. and a. 148)?	□YES ⊠ NO
	If so, did the parties participate in a private prevention and resolution process before filing judicial proceedings?	☐YES ⊠NO
`	A settlement conference:	
	☐ will be requested ☐ is probable ☐ is possible ☐ is out of the question	
6.	All the parties are requesting an extension of the time limit for trial readiness (C.C.P., a. 173):	⊠YES □ NO
	Duration: (where applicable, indicate an additional time limit of 9 months maximum) If the Court allows the application, the six-month time limit will be extended until:	☐ 3 months ☐ 6 months ☐ 9 months ☑ 4 months
		31 août 2021

SJ-1122A (2018-10) 1

PRE	LIMINARY EXCEPTIONS	
7.	Declinatory exceptions	☐ YES ☑ NO
		Deadline for filing
8.	Referral to competent court or dismissal (C.C.P., a. 167)	
9.	Other exception (with a reference to the C.C.P. article):	
10.	Submitted by (enter the name of the party):	<u> </u>
11.	Exceptions to dismiss	YES NO
	Exceptions to distinss	Deadline for filing
12.	Dismissal (C.C.P., a. 168):	
13.	Submitted by (enter the name of the party):	1
L		
г		I B
14.	Other preliminary exceptions	YES NO
		Deadline for filing
15.	Clarifications regarding (C.C.P., a. 169):	
16.	☑ Disclosure of documents (C.C.P., a. 169): by the plaintiff	Completed
17.	Striking of immaterial allegations (C.C.P., a. 169):	
18.	Requirement to provide suretyship (C.C.P., a. 492):	
19.	Other exception (indicate its nature): Notification and filing of an Application for permission to examine members (Art. 587 C.C.P.)	2 weeks after receipt of the undertakings communicated following the examination of Ricky Tenzer
20.	Submitted by (enter the name of the party):	
21.	Application under article 51 C.C.P.	☐ YES ☒ NO
<u> </u>	Application under article of o.o.i .	Deadline for filing
22.	Application under article 51 C.C.P.	
23.	Submitted by (enter the name of the party):	I
L	Total in the ratio of the party).	
100000000000000000000000000000000000000	ER PROCEEDINGS	Г <u>а</u> . а
24.	Safeguard measures (C.C.P., a. 169 1st para.):	YES NO
		Deadline for filing
25.	Application for safeguard measures	<u> </u>
26.	Submitted by (enter the name of the party):	
27.	Other incidental procedures	☐ YES ☒ NO
		Deadline for filing
28.	Amendment of a pleading	

		ermination of an issue of law		
30.	☐ Ded	claration of disqualification		
31.	☐ Oth	er (indicate its nature):		
32.	Submi	tted by (enter the name of the party):		
BEE	EMOE			
33.	ENCE	article 171 C.C.D. the case is subject to the rules of arel defence. Despite		
33.	this, a	article 171 C.C.P., the case is subject to the rules of oral defence. Despite II the parties are applying for authorization from the Court for the case to be at to the rules of written defence, on the following grounds (C.C.P., aa. 148(5) (indicate the grounds):		□NO
	Compl	exity of the class action case.	May 12,	2021
	defend	absence of an application for authorization for a written defence, the dant must state the grounds by oral defence (C.C.P., aa. 154 and 170 2nd para.) e the grounds):		
	The	formate tinto and to file a constant in the state of the		MNO
34.		efendant intends to file a cross-application.	YES	⊠ NO
35.	 	ne for filing the cross-application		
36.	Deadiii	ne for filing the defence to cross-application		
37.	Issues	in dispute (C.C.P., a. 148):		
	ŀ			
	Selon I	e jugement d'autorisation :		
		e jugement d'autorisation : Est-ce que le problème de déchargement prématuré de la batterie constitue un d sérieux ?	déficit d'usage	•
	1.	Est-ce que le problème de déchargement prématuré de la batterie constitue un d	_	
	1.	Est-ce que le problème de déchargement prématuré de la batterie constitue un d sérieux ? Est-ce que la batterie du téléphone des membres du groupe servant à un usage norma	al a une durée	·
	1. 2. 3.	Est-ce que le problème de déchargement prématuré de la batterie constitue un de sérieux ? Est-ce que la batterie du téléphone des membres du groupe servant à un usage norme de vie raisonnable ? Est-ce que le problème de déchargement prématuré de la batterie viole la garantie de constitue un de sérieux ?	al a une durée qualité prévue	
	1. 2. 3. 4.	Est-ce que le problème de déchargement prématuré de la batterie constitue un disérieux ? Est-ce que la batterie du téléphone des membres du groupe servant à un usage norma de vie raisonnable ? Est-ce que le problème de déchargement prématuré de la batterie viole la garantie de la u Code civil du Québec ? Est-ce que les membres du groupe connaissaient le vice de conception et de fabrication	al a une durée qualité prévue on au moment	; ;
	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Est-ce que le problème de déchargement prématuré de la batterie constitue un disérieux ? Est-ce que la batterie du téléphone des membres du groupe servant à un usage norma de vie raisonnable ? Est-ce que le problème de déchargement prématuré de la batterie viole la garantie de la u Code civil du Québec ? Est-ce que les membres du groupe connaissaient le vice de conception et de fabrication de l'achat ou auraient dû le déceler par un examen ordinaire ? Les membres du groupe ont-ils droit à un montant correspondant au coût de réparation	al a une durée qualité prévue on au moment n du téléphoné de conception,	; t
	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Est-ce que le problème de déchargement prématuré de la batterie constitue un disérieux ? Est-ce que la batterie du téléphone des membres du groupe servant à un usage norma de vie raisonnable ? Est-ce que le problème de déchargement prématuré de la batterie viole la garantie de la u Code civil du Québec ? Est-ce que les membres du groupe connaissaient le vice de conception et de fabrication de l'achat ou auraient dû le déceler par un examen ordinaire ? Les membres du groupe ont-ils droit à un montant correspondant au coût de réparation ou de remplacement de la batterie ? Les membres du groupe ont-ils droit au remboursement des frais découlant du vice de conception et de réparation ou de remplacement de la batterie ?	al a une durée qualité prévue on au moment n du téléphone de conception, e ?	; t
	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	Est-ce que le problème de déchargement prématuré de la batterie constitue un disérieux? Est-ce que la batterie du téléphone des membres du groupe servant à un usage norma de vie raisonnable? Est-ce que le problème de déchargement prématuré de la batterie viole la garantie de dau Code civil du Québec? Est-ce que les membres du groupe connaissaient le vice de conception et de fabrication de l'achat ou auraient dû le déceler par un examen ordinaire? Les membres du groupe ont-ils droit à un montant correspondant au coût de réparation ou de remplacement de la batterie? Les membres du groupe ont-ils droit au remboursement des frais découlant du vice de notamment les frais d'analyse, de diagnostic, d'expédition ou d'achat de pile portative La défenderesse doit-elle être condamnée à verser des dommages-intérêts punitifs du groupe qui sont des consommateurs?	al a une durée qualité prévue on au moment n du téléphone de conception, e ? aux membres	t
38. 39.	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Est-ce que le problème de déchargement prématuré de la batterie constitue un disérieux? Est-ce que la batterie du téléphone des membres du groupe servant à un usage norma de vie raisonnable? Est-ce que le problème de déchargement prématuré de la batterie viole la garantie de la u Code civil du Québec? Est-ce que les membres du groupe connaissaient le vice de conception et de fabrication de l'achat ou auraient dû le déceler par un examen ordinaire? Les membres du groupe ont-ils droit à un montant correspondant au coût de réparation ou de remplacement de la batterie? Les membres du groupe ont-ils droit au remboursement des frais découlant du vice de notamment les frais d'analyse, de diagnostic, d'expédition ou d'achat de pile portative. La défenderesse doit-elle être condamnée à verser des dommages-intérêts punitifs a	al a une durée qualité prévue on au moment n du téléphone de conception, e ? aux membres	; t

EXP	ERT OPINIONS	
40.	Joint expert opinion (C.C.P. a. 232)	☐ YES ⊠ NO
	Nature of and need for joint expert opinion:	
		,
	Reasons for refusing joint expert opinion (C.C.P., a. 148(4)):	
	Deadline for filing joint expert opinion:	
41.	Expert opinion for the plaintiff (not more than one per area or matter of expertise) (C.C.P., a.	☐ YES ☐ NO
	232): (indicate number, nature and need for each expert opinion)	
	An expert opinion in order to determine the loss of value of the group members'	
	telephones resulting from the defect affecting their battery.	
	Deadline for filing an expert opinion for plaintiff:	60 days following
		the transmission of the undertakings
		subscribed to
		during the examination of the
		defendant's
		representative (before defense)
42.	Expert opinion for the defendant (not more than one per area or matter of expertise) (C.C.P.,	☐ YES ☐ NO
	a. 232): (indicate number, nature and need for each expert opinion)	`
	(a) An expert opinion to determine the cause of the alleged defect in the batteries of the	
	phones.	
	(b) A counter-expertise of the loss in value of the group members' telephones resulting from the alleged defect in their batteries, if necessary.	
	Deadline for filing an expert opinion for defendant:	(a) April 9, 2021
	Deadline for filling art expert opinion for defendant.	(b) 60 days
		following the transmission of
		plaintiff's expert
40		opinion
43.	Expert opinion for third person or impleaded person (not more than one per area or matter of expertise) (C.C.P., a. 232):	Ņ/A
-	(indicate number, nature and need for each expert opinion)	
	Deadline for filing an expert opinion for third person or impleaded person:	

EXA	MINATIONS				
44.	Pre-trial examination(s) by either party (C.C.P., aa. 148(3), 158(3) and 221)				⊠ YES □ NO
45.	Value of the subject matter of the dispute i	s less than \$100 000	(C.C.P., a. 22	9):	☐ YES ⊠ NO
46.	The parties intend to submit their anticipate (C.C.P., a. 228):	ed objections before p	pre-trial exan	nination	☐ YES 🖾 NO
47.	Number of examinations before defence	9			To be determined
48.	Number of examinations after defence				. 1
49.	Name of persons to be examined for the p	laintiff:			,
	Representative of the defendant with respect to the battery usage deficit, customer relations and Quebec sales data	At the latest on December 4, 2020	9:30 am	Montreal or	by videoconference
	Given name, surname	Date	Time		Place
	Representative of the defendant with respect to the defense only	At the latest on June 14, 2021	9:30 am	Montreal or	by videoconference
	Given name, surname	Date	Time		Place
50.	Name of persons to be examined for the decision rendered by the court re: Case No. 19) Given name, surname	To be determined according to the decision rendered by the court re: Case No. 19 Date	9:30 am Time	-	Montreal Place
	Ricky Tenzer	At the latest on December 4, 2020	9:30 am	ſ	Montreal
	Given name, surname	Date	Time		Place
51.	In order to avoid service of a subpoer examination, the examining party will documents that must be in the possess the documents below if the parties are currently this protocol):	disclose in writing sion of the party to	to the other be examined	parties a de lat the pre-tr	tailed list of all the ial examination. List
	Representative of the defendant				
	Given name, surname		Doc	uments	
	1				
	Given name, surname		Doc	uments	
52.	Deadline for filing transcripts for the plaintif	f (C.C.P., a. 227)			60 days following the transmission of the undertakings subscribed to during the examination of the defendant's representative

53.	Deadline for filing transcripts for the defendant (C.C.P., a. 227)	With the Joint Declaration
54.	Deadline for filing transcripts for the impleaded person (C.C.P., a. 227)	N/A
55.	Deadline for presenting the objections set forth in the second paragraph of article 228 C.C.P., which were raised during the pre-trial examinations for the plaintiff	5 days following receipt of transcript of defendant's representative's examination
56.	Deadline for presenting the objections set forth in the second paragraph of article 228 C.C.P., which were raised during the pre-trial examinations for the defendant	5 days following receipt of the transcripts
57.	Deadline for disclosure of all the undertakings made during the pre-trial examinations for the plaintiff	30 days following receipt of transcript of defendant's representative's examination
58.	Deadline for disclosure of all the undertakings made during the pre-trial examinations for the defendant	30 days following receipt of transcript of plaintiff's examination

EXH	IBITS	
	Exhibits and other evidence (C.C.P., aa. 145 and 158)	Deadline
59.	Filing of exhibits for the plaintiff	With the Joint Declaration
60.	Filing of exhibits for the defendant	With the Joint Declaration
61.	Filing of exhibits for the third person, impleaded person or intervening person	N/A

62.	List of exhibits admitted by plaintiff: 30 days from the communication of defendant's exhibits	
63.	List of exhibits admitted by defendant: 30 days from the communication of plaintiff's exhibits	
	Filing of affidavits in lieu of testimony	Deadline
64.	Filing of affidavits for plaintiff	60 days following the transmission of the undertakings subscribed to during the examination of the defendant's representative
65.	Filing of affidavits for defendant	With the Joint Declaration

ОТН	ER	
66.	Legal costs (C.C.P., aa. 148 1st para., and 339)	
	Evaluation of legal costs for plaintiff (including expert opinions):	\$50,000
	Evaluation of legal costs for defendant (including expert opinions):	\$ 50,000
	Evaluation of legal costs for other parties (including expert opinions):	\$

67.	Methods of notification the parties intend to use (C.C.P., aa. 109 to 140 and 148(9)):
	Email

68.	Appointment of a lawyer to a minor or a person of full age considered incapable	☐ YES 🖾 NO
	If yes, name of proposed lawyer:	

N.B. Non-compliance with this protocol may constitute a breach punished under articles 341 and 342 C.C.P.

Le 7 octobre 2020

Tudel Johnston & Lesperance Me Mathieu Charest-Beaudry

Me Mathied Charest-Beaudry
Me Anne-Julie Asselin
Counsel for Plaintiff
Trudel Johnston & Lespérance
750 Côte de la Place d'Armes, Suite 90

Montral, QC H2Y 2X8 Telephone : 514 871-8385

Fax: 514 871-8800 mathieu@tjl.quebec anne-julie@tjl.quebec

On October 7, 2020

Me Pierre Grenier

Me Pierre Grenier
Me Erica Shadeed
Counsel for Defendant
Dentons Canada LLP
1 Place Ville Marie, Suite 3900
Montréal, QC H3B 4M7

Telephone: 514-878-8856 / 514-878-4191

Fax: 514-866-2241

Email: pierre.grenier@dentons.com / erica.shadeed@dentons.com

(given name, surname) Defendant