

CANADA

PROVINCE OF QUÉBEC
DISTRICT OF MONTRÉAL

SUPERIOR COURT
(Class Actions Chamber)

NO: 500-06-001004-197

RICCARDO CAMARDA

Plaintiff

v.

ABBOTT LABORATORIES, LIMITED
et al.

Defendants

**APPLICATION OF THE DEFENDANT PFIZER CANADA ULC
FOR LEAVE TO ADDUCE RELEVANT EVIDENCE**
(Articles 574, 575 CCP)

**TO THE HONOURABLE GARY D.D. MORRISON, J.S.C., THE DEFENDANT PFIZER
CANADA ULC HEREBY SUBMITS THE FOLLOWING:**

I. INTRODUCTION

1. Pfizer Canada ULC (“Pfizer”) seeks permission to submit evidence which is both relevant to the authorization criteria applicable to the Plaintiff’s *Amended Application for Authorization to Institute a Class Action* in this matter (the “Amended Application for Authorization”) pursuant to art. 575 of the *Code of Civil Procedure* (“CCP”) and necessary in order for the Court to undertake an informed analysis of those criteria.
2. Specifically, Pfizer seeks leave to submit the proposed affidavit of Lorella Garofalo attached hereto as Schedule A.

II. THE PROPOSED CLASS ACTION

3. As appears from the Amended Application for Authorization, the Plaintiff seeks authorization to institute a class action on behalf of the following proposed class (the “Proposed Class”):

All persons in Quebec who have been prescribed and consumed any one or more of the opioids manufactured, marketed, distributed and/or sold by the Defendants between 1996 and the present day (“Class Period”) and who suffer or have suffered from Opioid Use Disorder, according to the diagnostic criteria herein described.

The Class includes the direct heirs of any deceased persons who met the above-mentioned description.

The Class excludes any person's claim, or any portion thereof, subject to the settlement agreement entered into in the court file no. 200-06-000080-070, provided that such settlement agreement becomes effective as a result of the issuance of the requisite court approvals.

4. The proceeding generally asserts that the Defendants misrepresented the safety and efficacy of opioids to members of the Proposed Class.
5. Several medications are attributed to Pfizer, as appears more fully from paragraph 2.24 of the Amended Application for Authorization. However, no information is provided regarding the nature of these medications, their approved indications, methods of administration or conditions of use.

III. THE CRITERIA FOR GRANTING AUTHORIZATION AND GRANTING LEAVE TO ADDUCE EVIDENCE

6. The criteria to determine whether the Amended Application for Authorization should be granted are established in article 575 CCP.
7. In its analysis of these criteria, the Court assumes that the facts pleaded are true, unless they are clearly inaccurate and contradicted by other evidence. Further, the Court should not consider as true allegations those which are based on inferences, conclusions, unverified hypotheses, legal arguments or opinions.
8. Pursuant to article 574 CCP, the Court may permit the introduction of evidence, including documentary evidence, provided the evidence is relevant to the Court's analysis of the authorization criteria in article 575 CCP and necessary for purposes of the Court's application of those criteria.

IV. THE EVIDENCE PROPOSED IS RELEVANT AND NECESSARY

9. The evidence Pfizer seeks leave to adduce is both relevant to the authorization criteria and necessary to permit the Court to assess whether those criteria are met, in particular whether the proposed class action as against Pfizer presents an "appearance of right" and therefore justifies the granting of the authorization (article 575(2) CCP).
10. The Amended Application for Authorization contains a number of very broad allegations concerning opioids. One of the impressions created is that all opioids are administered in the same manner, i.e., in pill or tablet form, prescribed by physicians to their patients on an individual basis, and therefore are associated with the same risks.

11. For example, at para. 2.42, the Plaintiff asserts that:

*The prescribed uses of opioids changed in the mid-1990s; in particular, in 1996, **when Defendant Purdue introduced the time-release formulation of oxycodone branded as OxyContin.** Defendant Purdue claimed that the drug was safer because it could be taken less often, and it aggressively encouraged its widespread use for chronic conditions, such as back pain, migraines and arthritis.*

12. Paras. 2.43 and 2.44 further allege:

*While the Defendants may have competed with each other to increase their respective market shares, **they generally acted in concert to promote the false and misleading narrative described more fully herein** concerning the safety and efficacy of opioids **in an effort to increase the acceptance of such drugs for treatment** in a much larger patient population than that which was previously considered acceptable.*

***In their efforts to increase market share and increase the prescription rate and sale of their drugs,** the Defendants also failed to disclose the risks of using opioids.*

[emphasis added]

13. What this omits is:

- a) the fact that there are different types and formulations of opioid medications;
- b) the fact that certain opioid medications are marketed to hospitals only and, as such, have very specific indications and methods of administration; and
- c) the indications and conditions of use of the medications that Plaintiff attributes to Pfizer.

14. The evidence Pfizer seeks to adduce in the form of Ms. Garofalo's affidavit will demonstrate that the injectable opioid medications attributed to Pfizer in the Amended Application for Authorization are:

- a) only sold to hospitals, as sterile liquids in vials or ampules;
- b) only administered in hospitals by hypodermic needle or intravenous "drip"; and
- c) are not prescribed to individuals or sold to them through retail pharmacies.

15. The Amended Application for Authorization also refers to Robaxisal, a medication available in two formulations, Robaxisal C ¼ and Robaxisal C ½ (para. 2.24.4).
16. As the proposed Garofalo affidavit demonstrates, Robaxisal is categorized by Health Canada as a skeletal muscle relaxant/analgesic and not as an opioid analgesic. Robaxisal is also considered an “old drug” by Health Canada. “Old drugs” are drugs that, according to Health Canada, have been sold in Canada for a specified use for a sufficient time and in sufficient quantity to establish in Canada their safety and effectiveness for that use.
17. The Amended Application for Authorization also asserts, at para. 2.115 and following, that the alleged misrepresentations which are at the heart of the case were spread by Pfizer and others through unspecified funding in 2001 and 2007 of patient advocacy groups.
18. The evidence Pfizer wishes to adduce is that it was not involved in the sale of opioid medications at the times in question and that, in addition, any funding it provided was unconditional.

V. CONCLUSIONS

19. The evidence described above is necessary to permit the Court to assess whether the authorization criteria are met as against Pfizer.
20. It would be contrary to the interests of justice to refuse evidence directly relevant to understanding the proposed class action and assessing the criteria for authorization.
21. Pfizer further submits that the Court should have the benefit of the evidence described above, which is proportionate to the nature and the magnitude of the proposed class action, so that it might be in a position to make an informed decision at the authorization stage.
22. Without this evidence, the Court may be unable to properly assess whether the criteria for authorization are met and, if they are, how best to define the class and frame the common issues and conclusions sought.
23. The present Application is well founded in fact and in law.

FOR THESE REASONS, MAY IT PLEASE THE COURT TO:

GRANT the present Application of the Defendant Pfizer Canada ULC for leave to adduce relevant evidence;

AUTHORIZE Pfizer Canada ULC to submit an affidavit from Pfizer’s representative Lorella Garofalo in the form attached hereto as Schedule A;

THE WHOLE without costs save in the event of contestation.

MONTRÉAL, March 31, 2021

(s) **Société d'avocats Torys S.E.N.C.R.L.**

TORYS LAW FIRM LLP
Attorneys for the Defendant
Pfizer Canada ULC
M^{re} William McNamara
wmcnamara@torys.com
1 Place Ville Marie, Suite 2880
Montréal, Québec, H3B 4R4
Tel.: 514.868.5622
Fax: 514.868.5700
notifications-mtl@torys.com
Permanent Code: BS-2554
Our Reference: 06178-2314

COPIE CONFORME

Société d'avocats Torys S.E.N.C.R.L.

Société d'avocats Torys S.E.N.C.R.L.

NOTICE OF PRESENTATION

ADDRESSEES:

FISHMAN FLANZ MELAND PAQUIN LLP

4100-1250 René-Lévesque Blvd. W.
Montréal, Québec H3B 4W8
Fax: 514.932.4170
notifications@ffmp.ca

M^{tre} Avram Fishman

afishman@ffmp.ca
Tel.: 514.932.4100

M^{tre} Mark E. Meland

mmeland@ffmp.ca
Tel.: 514.932.4100

M^{tre} Margo R. Siminovitch

msiminovitch@ffmp.ca
Tel.: 514.932.4100

M^{tre} Tina Silverstein

tsilverstein@ffmp.ca
Tel.: 514.932.4100

TRUDEL JOHNSTON & LESPÉRANCE

90-750 Côte De La Place-d'Armes
Montréal, Québec H2Y 2X8
Fax: 514.871.8800

M^{tre} André Lespérance

andre@tjl.Quebec
Tel.: 514.871.8805

M^{tre} Gabrielle Gagné

gabrielle@tjl.Quebec
Tel.: 514.871.8385 x207

M^{tre} Marianne Dagenais-Lespérance

marianne@tjl.Quebec
Tel.: 514.871.8385 x217

Attorneys for the Plaintiff

IMK LLP

1400-3500 De Maisonneuve Blvd. W.
Montréal, Québec H3Z 3C1
Fax: 514.935.2999

M^{tre} Jean-Michel Boudreau

jmboudreau@imk.ca
Tel.: 514.934.7737

M^{tre} Audrey Boctor

aboctor@imk.ca
Tel.: 514.934.7738

GOODMANS LLP

Bay Adelaide Centre - West Tower
3400-333 Bay St.
Toronto, Ontario M5H 2S7

M^{tre} Harry Radomski

hradomski@goodmans.ca
Tel.: 416.597.4142

M^{tre} Nando De Luca

ndeluca@goodmans.ca
Tel.: 416.597.4288

M^{tre} Melanie Ouanounou

mouanounou@goodmans.ca
Tel.: 416.849.6919

Attorneys for the Defendant Apotex Inc.

TORYS LAW FIRM LLP
2880-1 Place Ville Marie
Montréal, Québec H3B 4R4
Fax: 514.868.5700
notifications-mtl@torys.com

M^{tre} Sylvie Rodrigue, Ad. E.
srodrigue@torys.com
Tel.: 514.868.5601
M^{tre} Corina Manole
cmanole@torys.com
Tel.: 514.868.5628

Attorneys for the Defendant Sanofi-Aventis Canada Inc.

FASKEN MARTINEAU DUMOULIN LLP
3700-800 Victoria Square
P.O. Box 242
Montréal, Québec H4Z 1E9
Fax: 514.397.7600

M^{tre} Noah Boudreau
nboudreau@fasken.com
Tel.: 514.394.4521

2400-333 Bay St.
Bay Adelaide Centre, Box 20
Toronto, ON M5H 2T6

M^{tre} Peter J. Pliszka
ppliszka@fasken.com
Tel.: 416.868.3336

Attorneys for the Defendants Sandoz Canada Inc. and Novartis Pharmaceuticals Canada Inc.

AUDREN ROLLAND LLP
248-393 Saint-Jacques St.
Montréal, Québec H2Y 1N9
Fax.: 514.284.7771
notification@audrenrolland.com

M^{tre} Marie Audren, Ad. E.
maudren@audrenrolland.com
Tel.: 514.284.0770
M^{tre} Marc-André Grou
mgrou@audrenrolland.com
Tel.: 514.284.7171

Attorneys for the Defendant Aralez Pharmaceuticals Canada Inc.

BORDEN LADNER GERVAIS, LLP
900-1000, De La Gauchetière
Montréal, Québec H3B 5H4
Fax: 514.954.1905
notification@blg.com

M^{tre} Jean Saint-Onge
jsaintonge@blg.com
Tel.: 514.954.2551

M^{tre} Anne Merminod
amerminod@blg.com
Tel.: 514.954.2529

M^{tre} Patrick Plante
pplante@blg.com
Tel.: 514.954.2571

Attorneys for the Defendants Purdue Frederick Inc., and Purdue Pharma

MCMILLAN LLP

2700-1000 Sherbrooke St. W.
Montréal, Québec H3A 3G4
Fax: 514.987.1213

M^{re} Joséane Chrétien

joseane.chretien@mcmillan.ca

Tel.: 514.375.5116

M^{re} Gabrielle Lachance Touchette

gabrielle.lachance-touchette@mcmillan.ca

Tel.: 514.375.5151

**Attorneys for Defendants BGP Pharma
ULC and Mylan Pharmaceuticals ULC**

LAVERY, DE BILLY, LLP

4000-1 Place Ville Marie
Montréal, Québec H3B 4M4
Fax: 514.871.8977

notifications-mtl@lavery.ca

M^{re} Louis Charette

lcharette@lavery.ca

Tel.: 514.877.2946

M^{re} Myriam Brixi

mbrixi@lavery.ca

Tel.: 514.878.5449

**Attorneys for the Defendant Church &
Dwight Canada Corp.**

DLA PIPER (CANADA) LLP

1400-1501 McGill College
Montréal, Québec H3A 3M8
Fax: 514.392.1999

M^{re} Tania da Silva

tania.dasilva@dlapiper.com

Tel: 514.392.8427

M^{re} Pablo Guzman

pablo.guzman@dlapiper.com

Tel: 514.392.8406

**Attorneys for the Defendant Bristol-
Myers Squibb Canada Co.**

IMK LLP

1400-3500 De Maisonneuve Blvd. W.
Montréal, Québec H3Z 3C1
Fax: 514.935.2999

M^{re} Doug Mitchell

dmitchell@imk.ca

Tel.: 514.935.2725

M^{re} Samuel Lavoie

slavoie@imk.ca

Tel.: 514.934.7743

**Attorneys for the Defendants Roxane
Laboratories Inc. and Boehringer
Ingelheim (Canada) Ltd.**

LANGLOIS LAWYERS, LLP

2000-1250 René-Lévesque Blvd. W.
Montréal, Québec H3B 4W8
Fax: 514.845.6573

M^{re} Vincent de l'Étoile

vincent.deletoile@langlois.ca

Tel: 514.282.7808

M^{re} Elisabeth Neelin

elisabeth.neelin@langlois.ca

Tel: 438.844.7803

**Attorneys for the Defendant Hikma Labs
Inc.**

GOWLING WLG (Canada) LLP

3700-1 Place Ville Marie
Montréal, Québec H3B 3P4
Fax: 514.876.9511

M^{re} Guy Poitras

guy.poitras@gowlingwlg.com

Tel.: 514.392.9511

M^{re} Joëlle Boisvert

joelle.boisvert@gowlingwlg.com

Tel.: 514.392.9580

**Attorneys for the Defendant
GlaxoSmithKline Inc.**

MCCARTHY TÉTRAULT LLP

2500-1000 De La Gauchetière St. W.
Montréal, Québec H3B 0A2
Fax: 514.875.6246
notification@mccarthy.ca

M^{tre} Michel Gagné

mgagne@mccarthy.ca

Tel.: 514.397.4204

M^{tre} Emmanuelle Poupart

epoupart@mccarthy.ca

Tel.: 514.397.4158

**Attorneys for the Defendant Abbott
Laboratories, Limited**

M^{tre} Kristian Brabander

kbrabander@mccarthy.ca

Tel.: 514.397.4273

**Attorney for the Defendant Paladin Labs
Inc.**

WOODS

1700-2000 McGill College
Montréal, Québec H3A 3H3
Fax: 514.284.2046
notification@woods.qc.ca

M^{tre} Patrick Ouellet

pouellet@woods.qc.ca

Tel.: 514.982.6628

M^{tre} Christopher Maughan

cmaughan@woods.qc.ca

Tel.: 514.370.8746

**Attorneys for the Defendant Ethypharm
Inc.**

MILLER THOMSON LLP

3700-1000 De La Gauchetière St. W.
Montréal, Québec H3B 4W5
Fax: 514.875.4308

M^{tre} Yves Robillard

yrobillard@millerthomson.com

Tel.: 514.871.5330

M^{tre} Fadi Amine

famine@millerthomson.com

Tel.: 514.871.5402

**Attorneys for the Defendant Pro Doc
Ltée**

FERNET AVOCATS INC.

601-485 McGill St.
Montréal, Québec H2Y 2H4
Fax: 514.375.6597

M^{tre} Paul Fernet

pfernet@fernet.ca

Tel.: 514.375.6596

M^{tre} Catherine Dubord

cdubord@fernet.ca

Tel.: 514.375.6596

**Attorneys for the Defendants
Laboratoire Atlas Inc. and Laboratoire
Riva Inc.**

OSLER, HOSKIN & HARCOURT LLP

2100-1000 De La Gauchetière St. W.
Montréal, Québec H3B 4W5
Fax: 514.904.8101
notificationosler@osler.com

M^{tre} Éric Préfontaine

eprefontaine@osler.com

Tel.: 514.904.5282

M^{tre} Jessica Harding

jharding@osler.com

Tel.: 514.904.8128

M^{tre} Annie-Claude Authier

aauthier@osler.com

Tel.: 514.904.5398

**Attorneys for the Defendants Cobalt
Pharmaceuticals Inc., Joddes Limited,
Pharmascience Inc., Sun Pharma Canada
Inc. and Teva Canada Limited**

M^{tre} Julien Morissette

jmorissette@osler.com

Tel.: 514.904.5818

M^{tre} Alexandre Fallon

afallon@osler.com

Tel.: 514.904.5809

M^{tre} Deborah Glendinning

dglendinning@osler.com

Tel.: 514.862.4714

6200-100 King St. W.
1 First Canadian Place
P.O. Box 50
Toronto, Ontario M5X 1B8

M^{tre} Kevin O'Brien

KOBrien@osler.com

Tel.: 416.862.4861

M^{tre} Adam Hirsh

AHirsh@osler.com

Tel.: 416.862.6635

**Attorneys for the Defendant SANIS
HEALTH INC.**

BLAKE, CASSELS & GRAYDON LLP

3000-1 Place Ville Marie
Montréal, Québec H3B 4N8
Fax: 514.982.4099

M^{tre} Robert J. Torralbo

robert.torralbo@blakes.com

Tel.: 514.982.4014

M^{tre} Ariane Bisailon

ariane.bisailon@blakes.com

Tel.: 514.982.4137

**Attorneys for the Defendant Janssen
Inc.**

M^{tre} Claude Marseille, Ad. E.

Claude.marseille@blakes.com

Tel.: 514.982.5089

M^{tre} Matthew Millman-Pilon

Matthew.millmanpilon@blakes.com

Tel.: 514.982.4071

**Attorneys for the Defendant Merck
Frosst Canada & Co.**

M^{tre} Francis Rouleau

francis.rouleau@blakes.com

Tel.: 514.982.4016

M^{tre} Anthony Cayer

anthony.cayer@blakes.com

Tel.: 514.982.4070

4000-199 Bay St.
Commerce Court W.
Toronto, Ontario M5L 1A9
Fax: 416.863.2653

M^{tre} Andrew Skodyn

andrew.skodyn@blakes.com

Tel.: 416.863.4029

M^{tre} Melanie Baird

melanie.baird@blakes.com

Tel.: 416.863.5262

**Attorneys for the Defendants Valeant
Canada Limited, Valeant Canada LP and
4490142 Canada Inc., F.K.A. as Meda
Valeant Pharma Canada Inc.**

TAKE NOTICE that the present Application of the Defendant Pfizer Canada ULC for Leave to Adduce Relevant Evidence will be presented before the Superior Court at the Montréal Courthouse, located at 1 Notre-Dame Street East, in the City and District of Montréal, on a date to be determined by the Coordinating Judge of the Class Action Chamber.

PLEASE GOVERN YOURSELF ACCORDINGLY.

MONTRÉAL, March 31, 2021

(s) Société d'avocats Torys S.E.N.C.R.L.

TORYS LAW FIRM LLP
Attorneys for the Defendant
Pfizer Canada ULC
M^{re} William McNamara
wmcnamara@torys.com
1 Place Ville Marie, Suite 2880
Montréal, Québec, H3B 4R4
Tel.: 514.868.5622
Fax: 514.868.5700
notifications-mtl@torys.com
Permanent Code: BS-2554
Our Reference: 06178-2314

COPIE CONFORME

Société d'avocats Torys S.E.N.C.R.L.

Société d'avocats Torys S.E.N.C.R.L.

NO: 500-06-001004-197

PROVINCE OF QUÉBEC
DISTRICT OF MONTREAL
SUPERIOR COURT
(Class Actions Chamber)

RICCARDO CAMARDA

Plaintiff

v.

ABBOTT LABORATORIES, LIMITED

et al.

Defendants

**APPLICATION OF THE DEFENDANT PFIZER
CANADA ULC FOR LEAVE TO ADDUCE
RELEVANT EVIDENCE**
(Articles 574, 575 CCP)

COPY

Mtre William McNamara
wmcnamara@torys.com
TORYS LAW FIRM LLP
1 Place Ville Marie, Suite 2880
Montréal, Québec, H3B 4R4
Tel.: 514.868.5622 | Fax.: 514.868.5700
notifications-mtl@torys.com

BS-2554

Our Reference : 06178-2314